



# Machine learning methods for age prediction using cortical thickness and cerebral blood flow M. Ethan MacDonald <sup>1-3</sup>, Deepthi Rajashekar <sup>1,3</sup>, Rebecca J. Williams <sup>1-3</sup>, Hongfu Sun <sup>1,3</sup>, Cheryl R McCreary <sup>1-3</sup>, Richard Frayne<sup>1,2</sup>, Nils D. Forkert <sup>1,3</sup>, G. Bruce Pike <sup>1-3</sup>

1. Radiology & Clinical Neurosciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada 2. Seaman Family MR Research Centre, Foothills Medical Centre, Calgary AB, Canada 3. Healthy Brain Aging Lab, University of Calgary, AB, Canada

# INTRODUCTION

- Chronological age prediction has been performed in many studies using brain morphology features including cortical thickness [1-4]
- Incorporating additional data, such as cerebral blood flow (CBF), has been shown to improve age prediction using multiple linear regression and feature selection [5]
- The relationship between cortical thickness and CBF is, however, complex and multiple linear regression is likely not the optimal algorithm for taking advantage of multimodal data
- To test for the effects of feature selection with recursive ReliefF [6] was used to optimize features of each machine learning algorithm
- Performance was evaluated with and without feature selection
- Models were built and trained using R [7] and processing was performed on a parallel computing cluster
- The regression model types used for age prediction were:
  - 1) multiple linear regression (MLR),

- In general feature selection improved performance, but the largest improvement was seen for MLR, where R<sup>2</sup> increased from 0.06 to 0.52
- The other machine learning algorithms did not improve as much with feature selection
- The strongest prediction of chronological age was achieved with pSVD using both cortical thickness and CBF features

## DISCUSSION

The main finding of the study, is that several machine

• The aim of this work was to evaluate sixteen different machine learning algorithms for estimating chronological age from regional cortical thickness and CBF data using the coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) as the performance metric

### METHODS

- A total of 146 subjects (58-M, 88-F; 18 to 87 years) were collected at a single centre (see Figure below)
- Subjects were imaged with a 3T MR scanner (Discovery 750, GE Healthcare) with a 12 channel neurovascular head coil
- All subjects included in this analysis were screened with the Montreal Cognitive Assessment and had scores >25
- 3D T1-weighted (T1w) and arterial spin labelling (ASL) images were acquired and used for this analysis
- The reconstructed image resolutions were 1 mm isotropic and 1.9 x 1.9 x 5 mm<sup>3</sup> for T1w and ASL, respectively

2) partial least squares (PLS), 3) ridge regression (RR),

- 4) elastic net (ENET),
- 5) neural network (NN),

6) multivariate adaptive regression splines (MARS), 7-9) linear, radial basis function, and polynomial support vector machine (ISVM, rSVM, pSVM), 10) k-nearest neighbors (KNN),

11) simple classification and regression tree (CART), 12) M5 tree, 13) bagged trees, 14) random forest, 15) boosted trees, and 16) cubist [8]

### RESULTS

\_\_\_\_

- The Figure below shows R<sup>2</sup> results for all the machine learning algorithms sorted by performance
- There are two sets of results, one without feature selection (left) and one with the optimized feature selection (right)
- The pSVM performed best with R<sup>2</sup> or 0.66 and 0.67, with and without feature selection

learning algorithms can perform better with CBF included with cortical thickness features

- MLS, PLS, NN, MARS, ISVM, rSVM, KNN, CART, M5, bagged trees, random forest, boosted trees, and cubist performed equally well with cortical thickness features alone or combined cortical thickness and CBF features
- Our findings indicate that adding CBF features to cortical thickness features improves chronological age prediction with more advanced machine learning models, like pSVD, ENET, and RR, are used

## REFERENCES

[1] Franke K, et al., Neuroimage 2015;115:1-6. [2] Gaser C, et al., PLoS ONE 2013;8:e67346. [3] Franke K, et al., Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience 2013;5:90. [4] Franke K, et al., Neuroimage 2012;63:1305-12. [5] MacDonald ME, et al., 26th ISMRM 2018:0188. [6] Robnik-Šikonja M, Machine Learning 2003;53:23-69. [7] R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. [8] Kuhn M, Johnson K. Applied predictive modeling: Springer; 2013.

× Cortical Thickness Without Feature Selection

#### With Feature Selection

- CBF was calculated from the ASL images
- T1w images were processed using standard FreeSurfer (version 5.3.0) pipelines and the Desikan-Killiany atlas to measure the mean cortical thickness for each hemisphere and 34 cortical regions per hemisphere.
- The mean CBF was also calculated for each of the 70 cortical ROIS in each subject
- Sixteen machine learning algorithms were applied to the following feature sets: 1) cortical thickness only, 2) CBF only, and 3) both cortical thickness and CBF





